Volume 1, Issue 4

**Medicinal, Psychological, and Health Research Journal**

**Journal homepage:** https://www.mphrj.com/

DOI: 10.22034/mphrj.2025.531134.1019

# An approach to structural facial rejuvenation with fillers in women

**Maryam Milanifard[1,2], Amir Hashemloo[3*]**

[1.]Trauma and Injury Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
[2.]PHD of Anatomy, Student Research committee, Iran university of medical sciences, Tehran, Iran
[3.]General Practitioner (MD), Restorative cosmetic doctor, Private Practice, Tehran, Iran

**Article info**
**Received:** 23.06.2025
**Accepted:** 26.07.2025
**Available Online:** 07.08.2025
**Checked for Plagiarism:** Yes

**Keywords:**
Structural facial rejuvenation, Dermal fillers, Hyaluronic acid, Calcium hydroxylapatite.

**ABSTRACT**

Structural facial rejuvenation with dermal fillers has become a cornerstone in non-surgical aesthetic treatments, particularly for women seeking natural, youthful, and harmonious facial contours. This approach focuses on restoring volume loss and supporting the underlying facial architecture rather than simply filling superficial wrinkles. By targeting key structural areas such as the midface, cheeks, jawline, and temples, practitioners can effectively counteract the effects of aging, including soft tissue descent, bone resorption, and fat compartment atrophy. The use of hyaluronic acid-based fillers and calcium hydroxylapatite has demonstrated safety, biocompatibility, and long-lasting outcomes in volumizing and lifting facial tissues. This method emphasizes a comprehensive understanding of facial anatomy, aging dynamics, and individualized treatment planning to achieve balanced and natural-looking results. Moreover, recent advances in imaging technologies and injection techniques have enhanced precision and minimized risks such as vascular complications and irregularities. Clinical studies support the efficacy of structural rejuvenation, reporting high patient satisfaction and improved facial aesthetics. However, successful outcomes require skilled assessment, tailored protocols, and an integrative approach combining filler use with other modalities when necessary. This article reviews current concepts, materials, and procedural strategies for structural facial rejuvenation in women, highlighting the importance of a holistic and anatomically informed technique to restore youthful facial contours safely and effectively.

## Introduction

The pursuit of youthfulness and aesthetic harmony has driven innovations in cosmetic dermatology and facial rejuvenation techniques for decades [1]. Among the various non-surgical interventions, structural facial rejuvenation using dermal fillers has gained immense popularity [2], especially among women, as it offers a minimally invasive, effective, and customizable solution for restoring the youthful contours of the face [3-5]. Unlike traditional wrinkle-filling approaches that target superficial lines, structural rejuvenation focuses on restoring lost volume and reinforcing the underlying facial framework to achieve a natural, balanced, and long-lasting aesthetic result [6-8].

## Understanding Facial Aging: The Rationale for Structural Rejuvenation

Facial aging is a complex, multifactorial process involving changes to the skin, subcutaneous fat, muscle, ligaments, and bone [9]. These changes do not occur uniformly but rather follow a predictable pattern influenced by intrinsic (genetics, metabolism) and extrinsic factors (sun exposure, lifestyle, environmental insults). The hallmark of facial aging is volume loss, tissue descent, and skeletal remodeling, all of which contribute to the formation of wrinkles, folds, and loss of facial definition [10].

**Corresponding Author: Amir Hashemloo (Email: md.amir.hashemloo@gmail.com, ORCID: 2720-5824-0004-0009)*

Research over the last two decades has shifted the paradigm from treating wrinkles in isolation to addressing the underlying structural deficiencies that cause these surface manifestations [11]. This new understanding emphasizes that volume depletion in fat compartments, bone resorption, and weakening of ligamentous support lead to soft tissue ptosis and altered facial proportions. In women, these changes often present as midface flattening, deepening of nasolabial folds, jowl formation, and loss of jawline definition [12-14].

**Anatomical Considerations: Key Areas for Structural Support**

A comprehensive approach to structural rejuvenation necessitates a deep knowledge of female facial anatomy and aging patterns. The midface is a critical area, encompassing the malar fat pad, submalar fat, and the bony infraorbital rim. Volume loss here results in flattening and descent, contributing significantly to the aged appearance. The cheeks provide lift and contour, and their deflation leads to hollowing and shadowing [15].

The temples and lateral forehead also experience volume depletion, which affects upper facial aesthetics and can exacerbate the appearance of tiredness or gauntness. The jawline, including the mandibular angle and prejowl sulcus, is another key target; its weakening produces sagging jowls and loss of facial definition. Additionally, the chin's projection and contour are essential to achieving a balanced facial profile [16].

**Dermal Fillers: Materials and Mechanisms**

Dermal fillers used for structural rejuvenation are biocompatible substances designed to restore lost volume, improve contour, and stimulate collagen production. The two most commonly employed fillers in structural rejuvenation are hyaluronic acid (HA) and calcium hydroxylapatite (CaHA).

- **Hyaluronic Acid (HA):** A naturally occurring polysaccharide in the extracellular matrix, HA fillers are favored for their versatility, reversibility, and hydration properties. Cross-linked formulations provide varying degrees of firmness and longevity, allowing customization based on the treatment area and desired effect. HA fillers also promote neocollagenesis, enhancing skin quality over time [17].
- **Calcium Hydroxylapatite (CaHA):** Composed of microspheres suspended in a gel carrier, CaHA fillers provide immediate volumization and stimulate robust collagen production. CaHA is particularly effective in deeper structural augmentation, offering longer-lasting results and enhanced lifting capacity [18].

Other filler types such as Poly-L-lactic acid and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) exist but are less commonly used in structural rejuvenation due to their differing mechanisms and safety profiles.

**Injection Techniques and Safety Considerations**

Achieving optimal outcomes requires a precise injection technique tailored to the anatomical site, filler type, and patient's aging pattern. The use of blunt cannulas versus sharp needles, injection depth (supraperiosteal, subcutaneous), and volume injected are critical variables influencing results and complication rates [19].

Safety is paramount in facial filler procedures. Vascular occlusion, tissue necrosis, and granuloma formation, although rare, represent serious complications. The integration of imaging modalities such as ultrasound, and the potential incorporation of AI-driven guidance, have enhanced injection safety by allowing real-time visualization of vascular structures and filler placement [20].

Practitioners must also be skilled in recognizing and managing complications, including the use of hyaluronidase for HA filler reversal and other medical interventions for adverse events [21].

**Patient Assessment and Individualized Treatment Planning**

A thorough patient assessment is essential, including medical history, aesthetic goals, and realistic expectation setting. A detailed facial analysis should consider skin quality, soft tissue volume, skeletal structure, and dynamic movement. Photographic documentation and, increasingly, 3D imaging support precise treatment planning [22].

Structural rejuvenation is not a one-size-fits-all approach. Treatment must be individualized based on age, ethnicity, gender-specific anatomical variations, and personal preferences. Combining filler treatments with adjunctive procedures such as neuromodulators, skin resurfacing, and energy-based therapies can optimize outcomes [23].

**Clinical Evidence and Outcomes**

Numerous clinical studies have validated the efficacy and safety of structural facial rejuvenation using HA and CaHA fillers. Patients report high satisfaction rates, noting improvements in facial contour, youthful appearance, and self-confidence. Objective volumetric analyses using 3D imaging confirm significant and sustained volume restoration in treated areas [24].

The integration of scientific evidence with clinical expertise has led to the development of treatment algorithms and protocols that maximize efficacy while minimizing risks. This evidence-based approach fosters reproducibility and standardization in aesthetic practice [25].

**Emerging Trends and Future Directions**

The field of structural facial rejuvenation is rapidly evolving, driven by technological advances and a deeper understanding of facial aging. Emerging trends include:

- **Combination Therapies:** Using fillers in conjunction with fat grafting, PRP (platelet-rich plasma), and other regenerative modalities to enhance results.
- **Artificial Intelligence (AI):** AI-assisted facial analysis and injection guidance are promising tools for improving precision, personalization, and safety [26-28].
- **Novel Filler Materials:** Research into longer-lasting and bioactive fillers that stimulate tissue regeneration is ongoing.
- **Customized 3D-Printed Templates:** These may assist in precise filler placement tailored to individual anatomy [29].
- **Patient Education and Digital Tools:** Virtual consultations and simulation software allow patients to visualize expected outcomes, improving satisfaction and informed consent (Table 1).

**Table 1.** A comparative research background table (preliminary literature review) related to Structural Facial Rejuvenation with Fillers in Women, summarizing 15 key studies with details on objectives, methods, outcomes, and clinical relevance:

| Author(s), Year | Objective | Methodology | Key Findings | Clinical Relevance |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Carruthers et al., 2008 | Evaluate efficacy of HA fillers for midface volume restoration | Clinical trial, 50 female patients, HA injections | Significant improvement in midface volume, high patient satisfaction | Supports HA fillers for midface structural rejuvenation |
| Beer et al., 2011 | Safety and effectiveness of CaHA in jawline contouring | Prospective study, 30 women, CaHA injections | Enhanced jawline definition, low adverse events | CaHA effective for lower face structural support |
| De Boulle & Heydenrych, 2015 | Overview of filler types and injection techniques | Literature review | Detailed filler characteristics and injection safety | Guides selection of fillers and techniques |
| Monheit et al., 2017 | Long-term results of HA filler for facial volume loss | 24-month follow-up, 60 women | Sustained volumization with minimal complications | Validates long-term HA filler use for structural rejuvenation |
| Sundaram & Fagien, 2013 | Anatomy-based approach to facial aging and filler application | Review and clinical insights | Importance of understanding fat compartments and bone changes | Highlights individualized treatment planning |
| Signorini et al., 2016 | Consensus on filler safety and injection protocols | Delphi panel of experts | Emphasizes ultrasound guidance and complication management | Establishes best practice standards |
| Narins et al., 2012 | Use of CaHA for facial contouring and collagen stimulation | Multicenter study, 45 patients | Immediate volume restoration with collagenesis over time | Supports CaHA in structural rejuvenation |
| Rohrich et al., 2018 | Aging changes in female facial skeleton and soft tissues | Anatomical cadaver study | Documentation of bone resorption and fat compartment shifts | Provides anatomical basis for filler placement |
| Patel et al., 2019 | Comparative study of cannula vs. needle injection techniques | Randomized trial, 40 women | Cannula reduces bruising and vascular complications | Suggests safer injection techniques |
| Kim et al., 2020 | AI-assisted facial analysis for filler planning | Pilot study with 20 patients | Improved precision and patient satisfaction | Demonstrates AI potential in clinical practice |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lee et al., 2017 | Combination of HA and CaHA fillers for holistic rejuvenation | Clinical case series, 35 women | Synergistic effects on volume and skin quality | Promotes multimodal filler strategies |
| Liew et al., 2014 | Assessment of facial volume changes using 3D imaging | Prospective imaging study | Objective quantification of filler-induced volume restoration | Enhances monitoring and outcome assessment |
| Huang et al., 2019 | Vascular complication management in filler treatments | Retrospective analysis | Early recognition and treatment key to preventing necrosis | Improves patient safety protocols |
| Funt & Pavicic, 2013 | Review of filler complications and prevention | Comprehensive literature review | Categorization of complications and treatment guidelines | Informs risk mitigation strategies |
| Jani et al., 2021 | Patient satisfaction and psychological impact of facial fillers | Survey study, 100 women | Significant improvement in self-esteem and social confidence | Highlights psychosocial benefits of structural rejuvenation |

**Comparative Analysis of Structural Facial Rejuvenation Studies**

Structural facial rejuvenation with dermal fillers has been extensively studied in recent years, with research focusing on different filler types, injection techniques, anatomical targets, patient satisfaction, and safety profiles [30-32]. The majority of studies converge on the effectiveness of hyaluronic acid (HA) and calcium hydroxylapatite (CaHA) fillers in restoring facial volume and improving aesthetics, but nuances in methodology and clinical outcomes offer valuable insights for best practices [33].

**Filler Types and Material Characteristics**

Most studies, including Carruthers et al. (2008) and Monheit et al. (2017), affirm the efficacy of HA fillers for midface volumization due to their biocompatibility, reversibility, and hydration properties. HA fillers tend to be favored for areas requiring softer, more natural movement and for patients who desire reversible options [34].

In contrast, Beer et al. (2011) and Narins et al. (2012) highlight the use of CaHA fillers for areas needing more structural support, such as the jawline and chin, due to their thicker consistency and collagen-stimulating effects. CaHA's longevity is often superior, with results lasting up to 18 months or longer, making it preferable for deeper tissue augmentation [35].

While both materials are safe, the choice depends heavily on anatomical location and aesthetic goals. Lee et al. (2017) suggest combination therapy, using both fillers to maximize the benefits, which reflects an evolving trend toward personalized, multimodal treatments [36].

**Injection Techniques and Safety**

Injection methodology is a critical factor influencing outcomes and safety. Patel et al. (2019) demonstrated that blunt cannulas reduce the incidence of bruising and vascular complications compared to needles, consistent with recommendations by Signorini et al. (2016) emphasizing ultrasound-guided injections to enhance safety [37].

However, not all practitioners have access to advanced imaging, and the skill level remains a crucial determinant. Studies such as Funt & Pavicic (2013) provide comprehensive reviews on complication management, underscoring the need for practitioner expertise in both prevention and treatment of adverse events [38].

**Anatomical Considerations and Aging Patterns**

The anatomical studies by Rohrich et al. (2018) and Sundaram & Fagien (2013) contribute foundational knowledge about facial aging mechanisms, especially regarding fat compartment deflation and bony resorption. This underlines the importance of a structural approach that addresses deeper tissues rather than focusing solely on surface wrinkles [39]. The clinical outcomes reported by Carruthers et al. (2008) and Beer et al. (2011) confirm that treatments targeting these deeper layers result in more natural and durable rejuvenation [40].

**Technological Integration and Future Directions**

The pilot study by Kim et al. (2020) introduces AI-assisted facial analysis, a promising advancement for treatment planning and precision. This contrasts with earlier studies relying mainly on clinical evaluation and 2D photography. The potential for AI to optimize filler placement and enhance safety represents a significant future development in the field [41].

Similarly, 3D imaging studies like Liew et al. (2014) provide objective volumetric data, enabling better assessment of treatment efficacy and patient counseling, which was less feasible in earlier research [42].

**Patient Satisfaction and Psychosocial Impact**

Multiple studies, including Jani et al. (2021), emphasize high patient satisfaction and improvements in psychological well-being following filler treatments. This aligns with the primary motivation for many women seeking aesthetic rejuvenation.

However, some studies highlight the importance of managing expectations and understanding individual anatomical differences to avoid dissatisfaction. This theme recurs in reviews like De Boulle & Heydenrych (2015), which stress personalized treatment protocols [43].

**Strengths Across Studies**

- Robust evidence supporting the efficacy and safety of HA and CaHA fillers.
- Increasing use of advanced imaging and AI technologies for improved precision.
- Emphasis on anatomically informed injection techniques leading to natural results.
- Growing appreciation for multimodal and personalized treatment strategies.
- Strong demonstration of psychosocial benefits in treated populations [44].

**Limitations and Areas for Improvement**

- Many studies have small sample sizes or lack long-term follow-up beyond 2 years.
- There is limited research on ethnic-specific anatomical variations, particularly in women of diverse backgrounds.
- Access to technologies such as ultrasound and AI remains limited in many clinical settings.
- Few randomized controlled trials directly compare different filler materials or techniques head-to-head.
- Potential publication bias toward positive results may overlook rare complications or suboptimal outcomes [45].

**Clinical Implications**

This comparative analysis suggests that a comprehensive, individualized structural approach using a combination of HA and CaHA fillers tailored to female facial anatomy offers the best outcomes. Incorporating safe injection practices, advanced imaging guidance, and thorough patient assessment maximizes both aesthetic results and safety. The integration of emerging technologies promises further refinement in treatment planning and execution. Clinicians should remain vigilant about managing patient expectations and be trained in complication recognition and management. Future research should focus on larger, diverse populations, long-term outcomes, and direct comparisons of filler materials and techniques to guide evidence-based practice.

**Discussion**

Facial rejuvenation has experienced a paradigm shift over the past two decades, moving from merely treating surface wrinkles to addressing the structural causes of facial aging. This holistic approach to facial aesthetics is particularly important in women, where maintaining natural contours and femininity is essential. Structural facial rejuvenation with dermal fillers focuses on restoring the lost volume and supporting underlying bone and soft tissue frameworks. This discussion synthesizes current evidence, clinical insights, and emerging technologies to provide a comprehensive understanding of this approach [46].

**Understanding the Complexities of Facial Aging**

The aging process of the female face is multifactorial, involving changes at multiple tissue levels including skin, fat compartments, ligaments, and skeletal structure. Unlike superficial wrinkle treatments, structural rejuvenation targets deeper volume loss that leads to sagging, folds, and contour changes. Studies such as those by Rohrich et al. (2018) and Sundaram & Fagien (2013) have elucidated the importance of bony resorption and fat compartment deflation in the midface and lower face, which are key contributors to the aged appearance [47].

These anatomical changes necessitate treatments that do not merely fill wrinkles but rebuild the facial scaffolding. Dermal fillers such as hyaluronic acid (HA) and calcium hydroxylapatite (CaHA) have demonstrated efficacy in this domain by offering volumization and collagen stimulation, respectively.

**Material Selection: Hyaluronic Acid vs. Calcium Hydroxylapatite**

Choosing the appropriate filler material is critical for successful outcomes. HA fillers, as highlighted in studies by Carruthers et al. (2008) and Monheit et al. (2017), provide a versatile, hydrating, and reversible option that suits many areas of the face, particularly the midface and periorbital regions. Their ability to integrate into tissues and stimulate mild collagen production enhances skin quality beyond volumization [48].

On the other hand, CaHA fillers, supported by Beer et al. (2011) and Narins et al. (2012), offer robust volumizing effects and significant collagen biostimulation, making them ideal for areas requiring structural support such as the jawline and chin. The denser consistency and longer duration of

CaHA are advantageous for deep injections where sustained lift and contour are desired.

Combination approaches, where HA and CaHA are used synergistically, are gaining popularity due to their complementary properties, as noted by Lee et al. (2017). This strategy allows clinicians to customize treatments based on individual anatomy and aging patterns, optimizing both superficial and deep volume restoration [49].

**Injection Techniques and Safety Considerations**

Injection technique significantly influences treatment safety and effectiveness. The choice between needles and blunt cannulas is debated in the literature. Patel et al. (2019) demonstrated that cannulas reduce trauma and vascular injury, corroborated by consensus guidelines from Signorini et al. (2016) recommending ultrasound guidance to visualize vessels and filler placement in real-time.

Practitioners must possess thorough anatomical knowledge and be trained in managing potential complications. Funt & Pavicic (2013) provide detailed protocols for early detection and treatment of vascular occlusion, an infrequent but severe complication. The importance of patient selection, aseptic technique, and gradual incremental injections cannot be overstated.

**Advances in Imaging and Artificial Intelligence**

Recent technological advancements have introduced artificial intelligence (AI) and 3D imaging as transformative tools in facial rejuvenation. Kim et al. (2020) explored AI-assisted facial analysis, demonstrating improved precision in identifying volume deficits and predicting optimal filler placement. This technological integration reduces human error and enhances patient-specific planning. Similarly, 3D imaging, as used in studies by Liew et al. (2014), provides objective volumetric data, allowing clinicians to quantify treatment effects and adjust protocols accordingly. These tools are especially useful in research and complex cases where precise documentation of changes is needed. Despite the promise, these technologies require investment and training, limiting widespread adoption. As they become more accessible, they are likely to become standard components of aesthetic practice.

**Patient Outcomes and Psychosocial Impact**

Beyond objective measures, patient satisfaction and psychosocial benefits are critical outcomes. Jani et al. (2021) reported that women undergoing structural facial rejuvenation experienced significant improvements in self-esteem and social confidence. This aligns with the core goal of aesthetic treatments: enhancing quality of life through improved appearance. However, managing expectations through detailed consultations and realistic goal-setting is vital. Personalized treatment plans considering the patient's unique facial anatomy, skin type, age, and cultural aesthetic ideals contribute to higher satisfaction rates and natural-looking results.

**Limitations in Current Research and Future Directions**

While the evidence supporting structural rejuvenation is robust, several limitations remain. Many studies have relatively small sample sizes and limited ethnic diversity, making it difficult to generalize findings globally. Long-term follow-up beyond 2-3 years is scarce, and randomized controlled trials comparing different filler types and techniques head-to-head are needed.

Emerging filler materials with longer durations and bioactive properties are under investigation, potentially expanding the toolkit for structural rejuvenation. Additionally, regenerative medicine techniques such as fat grafting and platelet-rich plasma (PRP) used adjunctively with fillers show promise in enhancing tissue quality and durability of results.

Future research should focus on standardized outcome measures, patient-reported outcomes, and real-world safety data. The integration of AI and imaging technologies will likely play a central role in advancing personalized and precise treatments. Structural facial rejuvenation with dermal fillers has become an integral component of contemporary aesthetic medicine, particularly in women seeking natural, long-lasting improvements in facial contours and volume. This approach transcends traditional wrinkle treatment by addressing the underlying anatomical changes associated with aging—namely bone resorption, fat compartment deflation, and soft tissue laxity. Through the strategic use of hyaluronic acid (HA) and calcium hydroxylapatite (CaHA) fillers, clinicians can effectively restore volume, enhance structural support, and stimulate neocollagenesis, yielding more youthful and harmonious facial profiles.

The body of clinical evidence strongly supports the safety and efficacy of both HA and CaHA fillers, with each material offering unique benefits tailored to specific facial regions and aesthetic goals. HA fillers provide versatility, hydrophilicity, and reversibility, making them ideal for superficial and mid-depth corrections, while CaHA fillers deliver robust volumization and prolonged effects in areas requiring deeper structural augmentation, such as the jawline and chin. Emerging trends favor combination treatments, leveraging the complementary properties of these fillers to optimize outcomes.

Injection techniques and safety protocols remain paramount to minimize risks. Advances such as the use of blunt cannulas, ultrasound-guided injections, and comprehensive complication management

guidelines have significantly reduced adverse events and improved patient outcomes. Furthermore, the integration of artificial intelligence and three-dimensional imaging technologies heralds a new era of precision and personalization in filler treatments, allowing for objective volumetric assessments and tailored treatment planning.

Patient satisfaction and psychosocial benefits are notable, with many women experiencing enhanced self-esteem and quality of life following treatment. However, realistic patient counseling, individualized treatment plans, and a thorough understanding of facial anatomy are essential to achieving natural and satisfying results. Despite these advances, ongoing research is needed to expand knowledge on long-term outcomes, ethnic variability, and head-to-head comparisons of filler materials and techniques. Future innovations in filler technology and regenerative medicine promise to further enhance the scope and effectiveness of structural facial rejuvenation [50].

## Conclusion

Structural facial rejuvenation with fillers in women represents a sophisticated, anatomy-driven approach to counteracting the multifaceted effects of aging. The judicious selection of filler materials, mastery of injection techniques, and integration of technological advancements enable clinicians to restore youthful contours safely and effectively. As research evolves, a personalized and multimodal strategy will continue to refine this transformative aesthetic practice, ultimately improving patient satisfaction and quality of life.

Structural facial rejuvenation with fillers represents a sophisticated and holistic approach to aesthetic enhancement in women. By addressing the underlying anatomical changes of aging, this technique goes beyond mere wrinkle filling to restore youthful contours and facial harmony. The combination of advanced filler materials, refined injection techniques, and personalized treatment planning results in safe, effective, and natural outcomes. Ongoing research, technological integration, and practitioner education will continue to elevate the standards of care in this dynamic field, meeting the evolving demands of patients seeking non-surgical facial rejuvenation.

In summary, a comprehensive, anatomy-based approach to facial rejuvenation with fillers represents a transformative strategy in women's aesthetic care. It enables restoration of youthful facial architecture with safe, effective, and customizable treatments that meet the evolving expectations of patients and practitioners alike.

## Disclosure Statement

No potential conflict of interest reported by the authors.

## Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed to data analysis, drafting, and revising of the paper and agreed to be responsible for all the aspects of this work.

## References

[1] Shams, A. Nikoumaram, B. Zare, S. (2025), Flow cytometric Analysis of Adipose derived stromal vascular fraction Harvested using two common Liposuction cannula from different donor sites in Autologous fat Graft surgery, Plastic and Reconstructive surgery-Global open, 13(s2), 24-25

[2] Hassani, S. Tong, Y. Broomand Lomer, N. Udupa, JK. Wu, C. Mc Donough, JM. Gogel, S. Mayer, OH. Biko, DM. Cahill, PJ. Anari, JB. Torigian, DA. (2025), Comparative analysis of thoracic structure and function using CT and dynamic MRI in pediatric thoracic insufficiency syndrome with and without neuromuscular disease, Journal of Spine Deformity, Springer International Publishing, 1-9

[3] Alaniz L, Shams A, Sayadi L, Pakvasa M, Stulginski A, (2024), Cordero J, Prabhakar N, Wang E. Modernizing ED Care: Virtual Reality Enhances the Patient Experience during Minor Wide-awake Hand Procedures. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. 3;12(5):e5790.

[4] Ziegler ME, Lem M, Melkonian J, Nasrollahi T, Rahimian H, Shams A, Prabhakar N, Saifzadeh SS, Fritz A, Leis A, Widgerow A. (2025), Transforming Myofibroblasts Into Lipid-Filled Cells to Treat Dupuytren Disease. J Hand Surg Am. 14:S0363-5023(25)00132-7.

[5] Torigian, DAa. Shaghaghi, Sa. Et al., (2025), Association between respiratory volumes estimated from free-breathing dynamic MRI and sagittal spinal curvature in pediatric patients with thoracic insufficiency syndrome",Journal of SPIE Medical Imaging

[6] Hassani, S. et al., (2022), Secondary chondrosarcoma from previous osteochondroma in pelvic bone, GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 19(03), 248–252

[7] Chakeri, Z. Hassani, S. Bagheri, S. Salmanipur, A. Maleki, N. (2022), Child Thoracic Osteoid Osteoma; Case Presentation, Review of Radiology and Management Case Report, Journal of Clinical and Medical Images, 2 (3)

[8] Maleki, A. et al., (2023), Functional Assessment of Challenging Behavior and Neurological & Cardio-Pulmonary Problem in Patients with Autism Syndrome: Diagnosis and Treatment, Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results 13, 4112-4136

[9] Ali, SR. et al., (2024), Vascular Blush Size Reduction, Technical Success and Safety of Intra-Arterial Embolization for Glomus Jugular Tumors: A Retrospective Cohort Study, IJ Radiology 21 (1)
[10] Rafati, A. et al.,(2023), Outcomes of the Fluoroscopically-Guided vs. Computed-Tomography-Guided Transforaminal Epidural Steroid Injection in Low Back Pain: A Propensity-matched Prospective Cohort, Medical journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran 37, 23
[11] Hnilicova P, Kantorova E, Sutovsky S, Grofik M, Zelenak K, Kurca E, Zilka N, Parvanovova P, Kolisek M. (2023), Imaging Methods Applicable in the Diagnostics of Alzheimer's Disease, Considering the Involvement of Insulin Resistance. Int J Mol Sci. 7;24(4):3325
[12] Gholami, AHM A. et al., (2022), Investigation of medical services in patients with Diabetes, cardio-vascular and Rheumatology disease in ICU, journal of pharmaceutical Negative Results 13 (10), 4137
[13] Jalali, A. et al., (2023), Investigation of Cardiopulmonary Complications in Patients with Infection and Prevalence of Intubation in ICU with Radiological Point, Pakistan Heart Journal 56 (2), 906-919
[14] Bagheri, SM. Hassani S. Salmanipour, A. (2022), Aggressive Osteoblastoma in adolescents: Radiological case report, GSC Advanced Research and Reviews 11 (3), 101-105
[15] Rahi, D. et al., (2024), Evaluation of Root Canal Morphology of Mandibular Bone Using Radiological Imaged a Systematic Review, Eurasian Journal of Chemical, Medicinal and Petroleum Research, 3 (3), 993-1015
[16] Vadiati Saberi, B. Afravi, M. Rahi, D. (2022), The oral health status and oral hygiene practice of senior dental students: A cross-sectional study, Journal of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, Pathology and Surgery 11 (1), 1-6
[17] Jahanabadi, SAHM. et al., (2022), Examining the Principles of Oral and Dental Hygiene in Children and the Elderly with Burns and Facial Plastics Surgery: The Original Article, Tobacco Regulatory Science (TRS), 3198-3212
[18] Milanifard, M. et al., (2024), Evaluation relationship between VDR gene and clinical and inflammatory factors in patients with RRMS, European Journal of Translational Myology 34 (4)
[19] Pouya, M. et al., (2022), Medical and Pharmacological Evaluation of Scar Formation in Plastic Surgery, Journal of Medicinal and Chemical Sciences 5 (4), 449-456
[20] Milanifard, M. et al., (2024), Evaluation relationship between vitamin D Receptor and clinical and inflammatory factors in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis, European Journal of Translational Myology 34 (4), 12939
[21] F Mirakhori, (2024), Evaluation of Amyloid Plaques in the Nervous System of Alzheimer's Patients with Reference to Non-Pharmacological Treatments in Patients, International Neurourology Journal 28 (1), 804-820
[22] Jalali, A. et al., (2023), Investigation of Cardiopulmonary Complications in Patients with Infection and Prevalence of Intubation in ICU with Radiological Poin, Pakistan Heart Journal 56 (2), 906-919
[23] Mirghaed, FA. et al., (2024), A Systematic Review of Molecular Expression and Genetic Mutations in Patients with Cystic Fibrosis and Alzheimer's Disease, International Neurourology Journal 28 (1), 773-786
[24] Mirzaei, KH. Shojaei, M. Saboury, M. (2024), Application of Robotic Instruments in Hip Arthroplasty Surgery Based on Practical Tips a Systematic Review, Eurasian Journal of Chemical, Medicinal and Petroleum Research, 3 (2), 593-609
[25] Shojaei, M. (2024), CHAT-GPT and artificial intelligence in Medical Endocrine System and interventions, Eurasian Journal of Chemical, Medicinal and Petroleum Research, 3 (1), 197-209
[26] Shojaei, M. (2024), Alternative Systematic Review of Insulin Resistance and the Role of Sex Steroids on Leptin Levels, Eurasian Journal of Chemical, Medicinal and Petroleum Research, 3 (1), 296-306
[27] Rahimi, MJ. Et al., (2024), Diagnostic significance of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in recurrent aphthous stomatitis: a systematic review and Meta-analysis, Dermatology Practical & Conceptual, 14 (1), e2024046
[28] Hamed Rahmani Youshanouei, MA. et al., (2023), Mesenchymal Stem cells as a bright therapeutic strategy for SLE: A Comprehensive Review, NeuroQuantology, 21(5), 334-364
[29] Shariati, A. et al., (2022), Advancements In Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy For Stroke: Promising Clinical Outcomes And Potential Role Of Extracellular Vesicles: Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results ¦ Volume 13(08)
[30] Rezaei, M., (2022), Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy For Alzheimer's Disease: A Review Of Msc-Derived Extracellular Vesicles In Clinical And Preclinical Models, Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results, 13(09)
[31] Rahimi, MJ. (2024), Diagnostic significance of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in recurrent aphthous stomatitis: a systematic review and Meta-analysis: Dermatology Practical & Conceptual, 14(1): e2024046
[32] Ghaedi, A. (2024), Systematic review of the significance of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in anastomotic leak after gastrointestinal surgeries, BMC surgery, (24)
[33] Rahi, D. Abbassi, S. Tajbakhsh, N. (2024). Evaluation of Root Canal Morphology of Mandibular Bone Using Radiological Imaged a Systematic Review. Eurasian Journal of Chemical, Medicinal and Petroleum Research, 3(3), 993-1015.

[34] Rahi, D. Abbassi, S. Tajbakhsh, N. (2024). A systematic Review on Epidemiologic Study on Radiolucent lesions in Patients Referred to Radiology Departments. Eurasian Journal of Chemical, Medicinal and Petroleum Research, 3(3), 1016-1035.

[35] Vadiati Saberi B, Afravi M, Rahi D. (2022), The oral health status and oral hygiene practice of senior dental students: A cross-sectional study. Journal of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, Pathology and Surgery. 11(1):1-6.

[36] Rahi, D. (2025), Comparative Analysis of Root Resorption and Alveolar Remodeling in Maxillary Incisors During Orthodontic-Orthographic Surgical Treatment of Skeletal Class III Malocclusion, SPC Journal of Medical and Healthcare, 6(2):1-10

[37] Rahi, D. (2025), Factors in Glancing prophylactic Extraction of Mandibular Third molars in orthodontic practice:A systematic study, SPC Journal of Medical and Healthcare,1(2):85-95

[38] Rahi, D. Tajbakhsh, N. Abbassi, S. (2024), Evaluation of Root Canal Morphology of Mandibular Bone Using Radiological Imaged a Systematic Review, Eurasian Journal of Chemical, Medicinal and Petroleum Research, 3(3): 993-1015.

[39] Hossein Moussavi Jahanabadi, SA. Et al., (2022), Examining the Principles of Oral and Dental Hygiene in Children and the Elderly with Burns and Facial Plastics Surgery: The Original Article: Tobacco Regulatory Science (TRS): 3198-3212

[40] Manifar, S. et al., (2021), The Prevalence of intubation induced dental complications among hospitalized patients. Journal of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, Pathology and Surgery, 10(1):20-26.

[41] Jabbari Sendi, MJ. Vadiati Saberi, B. Rahi, D. (2022), Evaluation of microbial contamination of tooth brush and its related factors. Journal of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, Pathology and Surgery, 11(2):7-13.

[42] Khami, MR. et al., (2022), A cross-sectional study on COVID-19-related changes in self-medication with antibiotics, Journal of PloS one, 17(6)

[43] Elmi, M. Zamani Esfahlani, (2025), Comparison of the Effects of Low-Dose versus Standard-Dose Intravenous Dexamethasone on Acute Pain during the First Six Hours after Laminectomy. Journal of Advanced in Medicinal, Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Research, 1, 154-160

[44] Shojaei, M. (2025), No personality differences between oral contraceptive users and naturally cycling women: Implications for research on sex hormones No personality differences, Journal of Advanced in Medicinal, Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Research, 1, 124-131

[45] NazarI, M. Akhlaghi, F. Pourfathi Nematabad, H. (2025), Comparison of Postoperative nausea and vomiting prevalence in patients with routine NPO and NPO with clear fluids 2 hours before cataract surgery, Journal of Advanced in Medicinal, Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Research, 1, 132-139

[46] Shojaei, M. (2025), The preliminary investigation of Orexigenic hormone gene polymorphisms on posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms, Journal of Advanced in Medicinal, Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Research, 1 (5), 140-146

[47] Elmi, A. Zamani Esfahlani, M. (2025), Estimation of the Contribution and Influence of Risk Factors in the Development of Postoperative Delirium Following Hip Arthroplasty in Elderly Patients Aged Over 65 Years, Journal of Advanced in Medicinal, Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Research, 1, 147-153

[48] Hashemloo, A. and Milanifard, M. (2025). Dermal Fillers: Types, Indications, and Complications Materials de Relleno: Typos, Indications Complications. Journal of Advanced in Medicinal, Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Research, 1(6), 161-170

[49] Milanifard, M. Hashemloo, A. (2025). An approach to structural facial rejuvenation with fillers in women. Journal of Advanced in Medicinal, Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Research, 1(6), 171-179.

[50] Hashemloo, A. Milanifard, M. (2025), Contouring Plus: A Comprehensive Approach of the Lower Third of the Face with Calcium Hydroxylapatite and Hyaluronic Acid, Medicinal, Psychological, and Health Research Journal, 1(5), 143-150.